New Mexico - Lovington Field Office FY 2005 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Irrigated Cropland _ Farm No.____ Tract No.____ CMS Field No's.____ Date Applicant Tribal Land Non-Tribal Land Preliminary Rating Final Rating 1. Water Quantity - 40 Potential Points Irrigation Efficiency - Use FIRS to Evaluate Potential Benchmark After % of Area in Contract % of Area in Contract After **Points Points Points Treatment** Efficiency before Treatment 40 1. Water Quantity **Total** 2. Water Quality - 40 Potential Points A. Surface Water Pollutants - 20 Points Maximum There is a probability that runoff water from irrigated fields contains sediment, salt, pesticides, and/or nutrients (or other associated chemicals). Treatment is needed to prevent these pollutants from entering live waters, or re-entering a shared irrigation system. Points will be awarded based on distance from the end of field to the nearest live waters or re-entry point into a shared irrigation system. If there is no run-off, after points will be 0. Distance of Surface Run-Off to Live Water Points Benchmark After 20 <100 Ft. 0 101 - 500 Ft. 15 0 501 - 1,320 Ft. 10 0 1,320 - 2,640 Ft. 5 0 >2,640 Ft. 0 0 A. Surface Water **Total** 0 B. Ground Water Pollutants - 20 Points Maximum There is a probability that irrigation water containing salt, pesticides, and/or nutrients (or other associated chemicals) is leaching into the ground water. Treatment is needed to prevent these pollutants from contaminating ground water, through leaching and direct return flow into wells. Points to be awarded based on depth to the water table, or Depth to Water Table Points Benchmark After 1 - 10 Ft **or** elimination of any direct discharge into ground water. 20 0 0 10 - 50 Ft. 10 50 -100 Ft. 5 0 >100 Ft. 0 0 B. Ground Water Total 0

	New Mex	cico - Lovington Field Off	ice		
	FY 2005 Ranking C	riteria Worksheet - Irriga	ted Crop	land	
Applicant		lo Tract No CMS Field			
		Preliminary Rating			
	3. Selected Conser	vation Practice(s) - 100 Pote	ential Poin	ıts	
Any practice used		ded to be included in the conservation			
plan of operations (value) should be cost effective, and establish the prac	s must be cost-shared or have ar given to those practices which a d have longer life spans. Use th	n incentive payment. Higher priority address multiple resource concerns, are le Quality Criteria in the FOTG to identified resource concern. Some	Potential Points	Percent of need to be installed.	After Points
	Soil Erosio				
		Range Planting (550)	10		
	Water Qual	-	40		
		Backflow Prevention Valve (430)	10		
	Water Quan	tity			
	Trate: quai.	Irrigation System- Drip (430)	40		
		Irrigation System- LEPA (442)	40		
		Irrigation System- LESA (442)	30		
Irrigation Water Pipeline (430)			20		
		Flowmeter (430)	10		
	Air	,			
		Windbreak Establishment (380)	10		
	3	. Selected Conservation Practices	Total		
	4 Other Co.	nsiderations - 20 Potential P	ointe		
Relow are some s		If there are other criteria the D.C.	Potential	Benchmark	After
	end based on LWG advice, pleas		Points	Points	Points
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		1 Oli ito	1 On its	1 011110
A. At risk species	are in the area and the contract	will enhance habitat for the species.	5	0	
B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment.			5	0	
C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active/planned sec. 319 project.			5	0	
D. This land is within a NMED Category I watershed.			5	0	
		4. Other Considerations	Total	0	
					
Producer		Date			
District Conserva	ationist	Date			